



Structured feedback of ECS to the climate symposium final statement

Jakub Walawender, Noel Baker, Tobias Wolf, Kevin Sieck, Christine Nam, Ariane dos Santos, Robert Lee, Chun-Hsu Su, Suzanna Maria Bonnet de Oliveira Martins, Julia Wagemann, Andreas Dietz, Kristof Van Tricht, Justinas Kilpys, Florian Rauser, Karoline Block, Vera Schemann, Claudia Unglaub, Sebastian Sonntag, Thabo Elias Makgoale, Kevin Reed, David Henderson, Ritesh Gautam and others



## Conference concept and execution



• **Concept /execution :** Organisation of the conference around WCRP GC was helpful to ECS, and the unified theme of space observations additionally helped to focus. The role of the decision maker focus was unclear .

\*Framing: It might help to put topics (and this conference) into perspective: improved
communication of WCRP processes to ECS community, potentially by adding one ECS per
session as co-chair. That would automatically involve ECS perspective in selection of topics
and talks.



## Three major challenges



- Time scales
  - Time horizons satellite vs WCRP grand challenges
  - Existing vs new satellites
- Valuation and commercialization of climate services.
  - Commercialization idea contradicts climate time scale and current system of free information
  - Estimating the value of new satellite missions desirable but difficult (dollar vs other metrics)
- Integration of climate and weather (both service and science)
  - Competing NWP and climate needs for space mission resources